



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA


Accelerator & Fusion Research Division

Presented at the International Workshop on B-Factories:
Accelerators and Experiments, KEK, Tsukuba, Japan,
November 17-20, 1992, and to be published in the Proceedings

RF Cavity Development for the PEP-II B Factory

R.A. Rimmer

November 1992



DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

LBL-33360
ESG-242

RF Cavity Development for the PEP-II B Factory*

R. A. Rimmer

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

Presented at the International Workshop on B-Factories: Accelerators and Experiments,
KEK, Tsukuba, Japan, Nov. 17-20, 1992.

- * This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, High Energy Physics Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Nos. DE-AC03-76SF00098 and DE-AC03-76SF00515.

RF Cavity Development for the PEP-II *B* Factory*

R. A. Rimmer

Accelerator and Fusion Research Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

This paper describes the development of an RF cavity design for the proposed PEP-II asymmetric *B* factory. The high luminosity required of PEP-II provides challenges in the design of the RF cavities, most notably in the reduced higher-order mode (HOM) impedances that must be attained and in the power that must be dissipated in the cavity walls. This paper outlines the goals set in these regards, describes how the cavity has been developed to meet them, and presents the results of measurements on a low-power test model built to verify the HOM damping scheme.

1. INTRODUCTION

Due to the high beam currents and short bunch lengths of the beams in the high- and low-energy rings of PEP-II [1], careful attention must be paid to the vacuum chamber impedances, both broad-band and narrow-band, to minimize the growth rates of beam instabilities. The single-bunch parameters are typical of present machines, placing the challenges in the domain of multi-bunch effects. In general coupled-bunch instabilities are driven almost entirely by the modes in the RF cavities. For PEP-II, the shunt impedance of the fundamental mode of the cavities has been maximized at the design frequency of 476 MHz so that the minimum number of cavities may be used. However, the HOM impedances calculated early in the design would, if undiminished, cause coupled-bunch instability growth rates far in excess of the radiation damping rate and beyond the range of a practical feedback system. It was clear from the start that some form of HOM reduction or damping would have to be developed as an integral part of the cavity design. The idea of using dedicated damping waveguides strongly coupled to the magnetic fields of the HOMs but below cut-off at the fundamental mode frequency was evaluated at LBL using a pill-box model, and the results were very encouraging [2]. At the same time numerical studies were undertaken using the MAFIA code and the Kroll-Yu method [3] which showed close agreement with the experimental results. This was sufficiently convincing that numerical simulations were used to develop the waveguide damping scheme for the PEP-II cavity and estimate the HOM reduction that could be obtained for the worst modes. A low-power test model was fabricated to confirm this performance.

The current activity is directed towards a high-power model that will be tested at the full wall dissipation to verify that the design is capable of being conditioned and operated to specification.

2. CAVITY PARAMETERS

The RF system parameters required for PEP-II are shown in Table 1. Normal conducting copper cavities were chosen and the cavity shape was optimized to give the best fundamental mode performance at the design frequency of 476 MHz [4].

Table 1: RF System Parameters for PEP-II
(including the effect of the 5% gap in the beam)

PARAMETER	HER	LER
RF frequency (MHz)	476	476
Beam current (A)	1.55	2.25
Number of bunches	1658	
Number of cavities	20	10
Shunt Impedance R_s (M Ω) ^a	3.5	
Gap Voltage (MV)	0.93	0.95
Accelerating gradient (MV/m)	4.2	4.3
Wall loss/cavity (kW)	122	129
Coupling factor (β)	3.5	3.9
Unloaded Q of cavity ^b	~31000	

^a $R_s = V^2/2P$

^b with ports, at 40°C

* Work supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, High Energy Physics Division of the U.S. Department of Energy, under contract numbers DE-AC03-76SF00098 and DE-AC03-76SF00515.

Each cavity must provide a peak voltage of almost 1 MV and the shunt impedance available is estimated to be 3.5 M Ω , allowing for all coupling and damping ports and losses due to elevated wall temperature. The cavity is being designed in collaboration with AECL

TM010 mode, 0-EE-1: 489.6 MHz, $R/Q=108.76 \Omega$, $Q_0=46306$, $R_s=5.0 M\Omega$, $Q_{3wg}=31926$ (imperf. model).
 TEXT: URMEL-T UNTUNED #42 S-FACTORY CAVITY (11.3cm gap,304 : K/V/PC= 0.18728 AT R/A= 0.0000
 PLOT: E-FIELD AT P=0 : ID: rhrmer 170oct1 174809 : MODE/TMS- EA-1 : F/MHz= 489.57




Fig.1a. Electric field of accelerating mode.

TM011 mode, 0-ME-1: 769.7 MHz, $R/Q=44.97 \Omega$, $Q_0=39625$, $R_s=1.78 M\Omega$, $Q_{3wg}=28$, $R_{s3wg}=1.3 k\Omega$.
 TEXT: URMEL-T UNTUNED #42 S-FACTORY CAVITY (11.3cm gap,304 : K/V/PC= 0.10878 AT R/A= 0.0000
 PLOT: E-FIELD AT P=0 : ID: rhrmer 180oct1 084307 : MODE/TMS- ME-1 : F/MHz= 769.78




Fig.2a. Electric field of TM011 mode.

TEXT: URMEL-T UNTUNED #42 S-FACTORY CAVITY (11.3cm gap,304 : K/V/PC= 0.18728 AT R/A= 0.0000
 PLOT: H-FIELD AT P=0 : ID: rhrmer 170oct1 174809 : MODE/TMS- EA-1 : F/MHz= 489.57




Fig.1b. Magnetic field of accelerating mode.

TEXT: URMEL-T UNTUNED #42 S-FACTORY CAVITY (11.3cm gap,304 : K/V/PC= 0.10878 AT R/A= 0.0000
 PLOT: H-FIELD AT P=0 : ID: rhrmer 180oct1 084307 : MODE/TMS- ME-1 : F/MHz= 769.78




Fig.2b. Magnetic field of TM011 mode.

Chalk River Laboratory to have a cooling system that can safely handle up to 150 kW of wall power, sufficient to meet these specifications.

The 2D URMEL code [5] was used to calculate the frequencies and impedances of all the longitudinal (monopole) and transverse deflecting (dipole) modes up to the beam pipe cut-off frequency for each type of mode (1821 MHz for dipole modes, 2378 MHz for monopole modes). Figures 1 and 2 a and b show the electric and magnetic field distributions, respectively, in the cavities for the fundamental and worst higher-order longitudinal modes. Figures 3 and 4 a and b show the electric field and the azimuthal magnetic field, respectively, of the two lowest dipole modes. In the real cavity the dipole modes will be split into pairs

because the symmetry of the structure is broken by the various ports.

The impedances calculated by URMEL show that the TM011 mode at about 770 MHz is by far the worst single HOM. It has a shunt impedance more than one quarter of the fundamental mode and is the mode that the damping and feedback systems must be designed to deal with. At the same time, it is important that the damping scheme does not miss any other modes because most of them could still drive the beam unstable if their Q's are high enough. Estimates of coupled-bunch instability growth rates indicate that for the TM011 mode, damping to a Q of less than or equal to 70 would allow a very practical longitudinal feedback

TE111, 1-ME-1: 679.6 MHz, $R/Q@r_0=0.001 \Omega$, $Q_0=47520$, $R_s/k(r)^2=0.001 M\Omega/m$, not vis. with 3wg.

TEXT: UNMEL-T UNTUNED #02 B-FACTORY CAVITY (11.5cm gap,30d) : E/V/PC= 0.00000 AT R/M= 0.0483
PLOT: E-FIELD AT Phi=0 : E: Rmmer 180cm11 10:28:11 : MOD: 1-EE-1 : F/MHz= 679.57




Fig.3a. Electric field of TE111 dipole mode at $\phi=0$.

TEXT: UNMEL-T UNTUNED #02 B-FACTORY CAVITY (11.5cm gap,30d) : E/V/PC= 0.00000 AT R/M= 0.0483
PLOT: H-FIELD AT Phi=0 : E: Rmmer 180cm11 10:28:11 : MOD: 1-EE-1 : F/MHz= 679.57




Fig.3b. Azimuthal magnetic field at $\phi=0$.

system. This Q value corresponds to a residual impedance of about $3.2 k\Omega$ at 770 MHz.

Other modes with lower initial impedances may not need to be damped as much but their residual impedances should be less than this value, reduced by the frequency dependence f/frf (less driving impedance can be tolerated at higher frequency). The estimated allowed values for each mode are shown in Table 2a, column 10. The feedback system is designed to handle these driving impedances and an initial coupled-bunch phase disturbance of 30 mrad (or larger single-bunch errors caused during injection).

For transverse beam motion, the feedback system must be sized to handle the growth rates of modes driven by

TM110, 1-EE-1: 795.5 MHz, $R/Q@r_0=9.88 \Omega$, $Q_0=61076$, $R_s/k(r)^2=15.5 M\Omega/m$, not visible after damping.

TEXT: UNMEL-T UNTUNED #02 B-FACTORY CAVITY (11.5cm gap,30d) : E/V/PC= 0.00488 AT R/M= 0.0483
PLOT: E-FIELD AT Phi=0 : E: Rmmer 180cm11 09:58:57 : MOD: 1-EE-1 : F/MHz= 795.48




Fig.4a. Electric field of TM110 dipole mode at $\phi=0$.

TEXT: UNMEL-T UNTUNED #02 B-FACTORY CAVITY (11.5cm gap,30d) : E/V/PC= 0.00488 AT R/M= 0.0483
PLOT: H-FIELD AT Phi=0 : E: Rmmer 180cm11 09:58:57 : MOD: 1-EE-1 : F/MHz= 795.48




Fig.4b. Azimuthal magnetic field at $\phi=0$.

the resistive-wall impedance and the target is to damp deflecting cavity modes to an extent where the growth rates they produce are handled by the same system. The target value for the transverse impedance of the dipole modes is $117 k\Omega/m$.

3. WAVEGUIDE DAMPING SCHEME

The damping scheme has to reduce the impedances at the HOM frequencies by coupling energy out of the cavity to external loads. At the same time it is desirable to have the minimum adverse effect on the fundamental-mode performance. A waveguide damping scheme satisfies these conflicting objectives by operating as a high-pass filter, propagating away energy at the HOM frequencies, above the waveguide cut-off,




Fig.9a. Fundamental mode and first three higher order modes in the LPTC without damping. Note the strong response from the TM011 mode which is the worst longitudinal HOM.

Figure 9b shows the effect of adding one HOM damping waveguide to the cavity while leaving the others sealed. Apart from a small frequency shift, the fundamental mode is not significantly changed but the first and worst monopole HOM, TM011, is loaded to a Q of less than 35 and a frequency of about 760 MHz. This Q is already half of the target value of 70 from the




Fig.9b. Fundamental mode and first three HOMs in the LPTC with one damping waveguide. The TM011 mode and one orientation of the TM110 dipole mode are strongly damped.




Fig.9c. Fundamental mode and first HOMs in the LPTC with three damping waveguides. The TM011 mode is further damped and both orientations of the dipole mode are strongly suppressed.

feedback system specification. One orientation of the TM110 dipole mode at 795 MHz is heavily damped but the other orientation is still clearly visible. Similar results are seen in the other frequency ranges.

Figures 9c and 10b-13b show the response with all three waveguides attached and terminated, like the first one, in loads made of epoxy-ferrite mixture. These




Fig.9d. Fundamental mode and first HOMs with three damping waveguides and PEP loop fundamental-mode coupler. Note that some new structure appears in the spectrum because of the loop.




Fig. 10a. Undamped HOMs between 900 and 1400 MHz in the LPTC. The 1-ME-2 mode at 1064 MHz is the worst deflecting (dipole) mode.

loads are at the edges of the waveguides and have long tapers to simulate the high-power loads that would be used in the real cavities and provide low reflection over a wide frequency range. With all three waveguides open, the TM011 mode is further reduced to a Q of approximately 28 (the Q is uncertain because the response is no longer an ideal resonant curve as the tails of the modes overlap). This represents a reduction of more than three orders of magnitude from the calculated unloaded Q_0 of >39600. Both orientations of




Fig. 10b. HOMs between 900 and 1400 MHz in the LPTC with three damping waveguides. Most of the modes are strongly damped.

the TM110 dipole mode are strongly damped and are barely visible at the edge of the TM011 response.

In Fig. 10b, the TM020 monopole mode is still visible at 1016 MHz, but its Q is less than 250 and its residual impedance is very small, one thousandth of the target value at that frequency. The 1-ME-2 mode, the worst dipole HOM, 1-EE-2 1-ME-3 and 1-EE-3 dipole modes are all damped to safe levels, the latter being visible at 1311 MHz with a Q of about 500.




Fig. 11a. HOMs between 1.4 and 1.9 GHz, monopole and dipole modes only labeled. Note cut-off frequency of the TE11 mode in the beam pipe at 1.821 GHz.




Fig. 11b. HOMs between 1.4 and 1.9 GHz with three damping waveguides. Note that many modes are no longer visible and most others are strongly damped.




Fig. 12a. HOMs between 1.9 and 2.4 GHz with no damping. Note TM01 waveguide mode cut-off in the beam-pipe at 2378 MHz.

The TM021-like 0-ME-2 monopole mode is still visible at 1296 MHz and although its Q is reduced to about 907 its initial impedance is high and its residual impedance is about three times the target value. However, there is considerable safety margin in the feedback specification, as is described later in the section on feedback simulations and, furthermore, more damping may be possible through the drive port and pumping structure.

Figure 11b shows many fewer modes visible after damping than in fig. 11a and, of these, only the 1-ME-




Fig. 12b. HOMs between 1.9 and 2.4 GHz with three damping waveguides. No modes have residual impedance greater than the target values.

4, 1-EE-6, 0-EE-4 and 1-ME-6 modes have significant residual impedance. The last two of these are sufficiently damped but the first two exceed the target value for the transverse feedback system by factors of about 3. As with the longitudinal case the safety margin in the feedback system and additional damping from other ports are expected to eliminate problems from these too. Figures 12b and 13b show good damping with no more HOMs left with troublesome impedances.




Fig. 13a. HOMs between 2.4 and 2.9 GHz with no waveguides. Both monopole and dipole modes can couple to the beam pipes.




Fig. 13b. HOMs between 2.4 and 2.9 GHz with three waveguides. Even above cut-off the spectrum is cleaner with the damping waveguides than without.

Table 2a. Properties of the longitudinal (monopole) modes below the beam-pipe cut-off calculated by URMEL and measured in the low-power test cavity. The last three columns show the effective impedance estimated by using the calculated R/Q and the measured Q_L , ($R/Q \times Q_L$, k Ω), the target impedance per cavity from the longitudinal feedback system and the fraction used by the modes.

*note that the model as constructed is not a good test of the fundamental mode Q.

	MODE TYPE	FREQ (MHz)	R/Q (Ω)	URMEL Q's	Rs (M Ω)	Meas F (MHz)	MEAS Q's	R/Q x Q_L (k Ω)	target (k Ω)	fract. of target
TM010	0-EE-1	489.57	108.76	46306	5.036	484	*31926	3472.277	5.0	694
TM011	0-ME-1	769.78	44.97	39625	1.782	758	28	1.259	3.2	0.394
TM020	0-EE-2	1015.38	0.01	41383	0.000	1016	246	0.001	2.4	0.001
	0-EE-3	1291.02	7.68	90188	0.692	not visible after damping			1.9	
TM021	0-ME-2	1295.61	6.57	40326	0.265	1296	907	5.955	1.9	3.185
	0-EE-4	1585.46	5.06	42724	0.216	1588	178	0.901	1.5	0.591
	0-ME-3	1711.62	4.75	85135	0.404	not visible after damping			1.4	
	0-EE-5	1821.89	0.06	107874	0.006	1821	295	0.018	1.3	0.013
	0-ME-4	1890.98	1.68	44492	0.075	not visible after damping			1.2	
	0-EE-6	2103.39	3.52	66780	0.235	2109	233	0.820	1.1	0.713
	0-ME-5	2161.89	0.02	84386	0.002	2168	201	0.004	1.1	0.004
	0-EE-7	2252.16	1.21	55944	0.068	2253	500	0.607	1.1	0.564

Table 2b. Properties of the deflecting (dipole) modes below the beam-pipe cut-off calculated by URMEL and measured in the low-power test cavity. The last three columns show the effective transverse impedance estimated by using the calculated R/Q at the beam-pipe radius and the measured Q, ($R/Q/k(r)^2 \times Q_L$, k Ω/m), the target impedance from the transverse feedback system (resistive-wall impedance) and the fraction used by the modes.

	MODE TYPE	FREQ (MHz)	R/Q ($\Omega @ r_0$)	R/Q/(kr) ² ($\Omega @ r_0$)	URMEL Q's	R/k(r) ² (M Ω/m)	Meas F (MHz)	MEAS Q's	R/Qk(r) ² x Q_L (k Ω/m)	target (k Ω/m)	fract. of res. wall
TE111	1-ME-1	679.57	0.001	0.002	47520	0.001	not visible after damping				
TM110	1-EE-1	795.46	9.876	15.263	61076	15.531	not visible after damping				
	1-ME-2	1064.81	31.990	27.590	50048	30.794	not visible after damping				
	1-EE-2	1133.16	0.320	0.243	49771	0.287	1141	112	0.650	117	0.006
	1-ME-3	1208.21	0.385	0.258	87745	0.573	1203	1588	10.323	117	0.088
	1-EE-3	1313.21	10.336	5.861	50189	8.090	1311	498	80.142	117	0.685
	1-ME-4	1429.01	5.999	2.873	38150	3.280	1435	3955	341.502	117	2.919
	1-EE-4	1541.02	2.065	0.850	102408	2.809	1554	59	1.624	117	0.014
	1-EE-5	1586.23	5.262	2.045	76118	5.171	1588	178	12.107	117	0.103
	1-EE-6	1674.16	14.732	5.140	36130	6.512	1674	2134	384.567	117	3.287
	1-ME-5	1704.41	0.285	0.096	52856	0.181	1704	444	1.521	117	0.013
	1-ME-6	1761.93	0.330	0.104	92516	0.355	1757	7129	27.283	117	0.233

5. FEEDBACK SYSTEM SIMULATIONS

Simulation programs have been used to study the parameters of the proposed coupled-bunch feedback systems and can also be used to predict the behavior of the beam subject to the driving impedances measured in the test cavity. The longitudinal feedback system is being designed to handle a coherent coupled-bunch mode amplitude of 30 mrad but can tolerate much

larger offset of a single bunch, for example during injection.

Simulations of the longitudinal feedback system show that even using the residual impedances measured in the test cavity, a few of which are slightly higher than the target values, the beam is still stable and transients are quickly damped. Figure 14 shows a simulation in which the beam stays stable to within 2 mrad

longitudinal phase variation (the quantization level in the phase detector) and on turn 2000 one bunch is given a kick of 30 mrad. The bunch remains stable and it is quickly damped back to its original small amplitude. A transverse feedback simulation program is presently being developed and is expected to show similar behavior using the measured transverse impedances.




Fig.14, Simulation of longitudinal bunch motion with feedback on and measured HOM impedances.

6. DRIVE COUPLER

Addition of a drive coupler to the cavity will perturb the fields of many of the HOM. To test this a loop coupler from one of the original PEP cavities was introduced into the low-power cavity to see how this affects the damping. As seen in fig. 9d and described above this has the effect of tuning some of the HOMs but does not appear to cause any of the harmful modes to become less well damped. In fact, the additional damping from the drive port is *helpful* to the three worst remaining HOMs. Aperture coupling schemes are also being studied for use in the final cavities and the high-power test cavity will include such a port.

7. HIGH-POWER TEST CAVITY

The next important R&D step is to build a high-power test cavity (HPTC) to prove that this kind of low-HOM design can be conditioned and operated at the 150 kW wall dissipation required for PEP-II. To speed up the design and fabrication of the high-power cavity it is planned to use a PEP loop coupler for the drive. These have been tested in the PEP cavities to 200 kW and should be more than adequate for the task. The final

cavity will have to take almost 500 kW with full beam current and an improved coupler design will be required.

The HPTC is being designed in collaboration with AECL Chalk River Laboratories. This work includes detailed cooling and thermal stress simulations and the development of a possible fabrication plan. The highest power density in the cavity is at the corners of the damping waveguides. Removing the heat from these locations and reducing the thermal stresses to acceptable levels have been the main challenges in the design of the HPTC. This work is well advanced and it is hoped that the high-power cavity will be ready for testing at SLAC in about one year.

8. CONCLUSIONS

The basic shape of PEP-II B factory RF cavity has been chosen for maximum fundamental mode shunt impedance and every effort has been made to preserve this performance in subsequent steps. A waveguide damping scheme has been developed through computer simulations and test models that shows great promise for substantially reducing the impedances of higher-order modes. A design for the PEP-II cavity has been developed using three damping waveguides. A full-size low-power model has been built and tested and confirms our expectations. The impedance of the worst HOM in the cavity, the TM011 longitudinal mode, has been reduced by more than three orders of magnitude. Plans for a high-power test cavity are well advanced and it is hoped that this will be ready for testing in about a year.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper presents the work of numerous people besides the author, from the three collaborating laboratories, SLAC, LBL and LLNL and beyond.

REFERENCES

- [1] "An Asymmetric B-Factory based on PEP," Conceptual Design Report, LBL PUB-5303, SLAC 372
- [2] N. Kroll, D. Yu, "Computer Determination of the External Q and Resonant Frequency of Waveguide Loaded Cavities," SLAC-PUB-5171.
- [3] F. Voelker, G. Lambertson, R. Rimmer, "Higher Order Mode Damping in a Pill Box Cavity," Proc. PAC, San Francisco, May 6-9th, 1991, pp 687-689 LBL-30625.
- [4] R. Rimmer et. al., "An RF Cavity for the B-Factory", Proc. PAC, San Francisco, May 6th-9th, 1991, pp819-21.
- [5] "Reports at the 1986 Stanford Linac Conference., Stanford, USA, June 2-6 1986," DESY M-86-07, June 86